

METHODOLOGY ARTICLE

Open Access



Phylogenetic relationship of *Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale* isolated from poultry and diverse avian hosts based on 16S rRNA and *rpoB* gene analyses

Inês M. B. Veiga^{1,2†}, Dörte Lüscho², Stefanie Gutzler², Hafez M. Hafez² and Kristin Mühldorfer^{3*†} 

Abstract

Background: *Ornithobacterium (O.) rhinotracheale* is an emerging bacterial pathogen in poultry and not fully understood to date. Because of its importance particularly for the global turkey meat industry, reliable diagnostic and characterization methods are needed for early treatment and in future for better vaccine production. The host range of birds infected by *O. rhinotracheale* or carrying the bacterium in their respiratory tract has constantly increased raising important epidemiological and taxonomic questions for a better understanding of its diversity, ecology and transmission cycles. The purpose of this study was to introduce partial *rpoB* gene sequencing for *O. rhinotracheale* into routine diagnostics to differentiate strains isolated from poultry and more diverse avian hosts (i.e., birds of prey, corvids and pigeons) and to compare phylogenetic relationships with results from 16S rRNA gene analysis and multilocus sequence typing (MLST).

Results: Partial 16S rRNA gene analysis revealed a high level of homogeneity among the 65 investigated *O. rhinotracheale* sequences with similarity values ranging from 98.6 to 100% between sequences from non-galliform and poultry species. The corresponding *rpoB* gene sequences were heterogeneous and ranged in their similarity values from 85.1 to 100%. The structure of the *rpoB* tree was in strong correlation with previous MLST results revealing three main clusters A (poultry and birds of prey), B (poultry, birds of prey and corvids) and C (pigeons), which were clearly separated from each other.

Conclusions: By using partial sequences from a single gene, the *rpoB* gene analysis is in good agreement with MLST results with a slight decrease in resolution to distinguish more similar strains. The present results provide strong evidence that traditional phenotypic and genetic methods may not properly represent the heterogeneous group of bacteria classified as *O. rhinotracheale*. From housekeeping gene analyses, it is very likely that the genus *Ornithobacterium* includes additional species and partial *rpoB* gene sequencing can be recommended as fast, cost-effective and readily available method to identify strains and differentiate between *O. rhinotracheale* and *Ornithobacterium*-like bacteria.

Keywords: Bacteria, Flavobacteriaceae, *Ornithobacterium*, ORT, Phylogeny, Birds, Diagnostics, PCR

* Correspondence: muehldorfer@izw-berlin.de

[†]Inês M. B. Veiga and Kristin Mühldorfer contributed equally to this work.

³Department of Wildlife Diseases, Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research, Berlin, Germany

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Background

Ornithobacterium (*O.*) *rhinotracheale* is a relatively novel, emerging bacterial pathogen in turkeys and chickens that causes high economic losses to the commercial poultry production. It was first recognized and taxonomically classified in the early 1990's [1, 2] and has been isolated from poultry flocks worldwide [3, 4].

The genus *Ornithobacterium* belongs to the family of the Flavobacteriaceae [5], which - besides others - also includes the genus *Riemerella* with *R. anatipestifer* [6, 7] and the genus *Coenonia* with *C. anatina* [8]. Both bacterial species are also important poultry pathogens mainly of domestic ducks and geese. Besides a new species proposed as *Candidatus Ornithobacterium hominis* sp. nov. [9], *O. rhinotracheale* is the only bacterial species described within the genus *Ornithobacterium* but not fully understood to date. Because of its veterinary importance particularly for the global turkey meat industry [10] the need for reliable diagnostic and characterization methods is obvious for early treatment. Its cultural characteristics and fastidious requirements (i.e., small colony size, slow growth, enriched media and capnophilic incubation), however, may challenge bacterial isolations and reduce the detection rates [11, 12]. Therefore, molecular detection of *O. rhinotracheale* DNA from tissues or swabs targeting the 16S rRNA gene with specific primers is frequently used in routine diagnostics, but 16S sequences often lack the resolution to capture heterogeneity among the strains [13–15].

Over the past few decades, the host range of birds infected by *O. rhinotracheale* or carrying the bacterium in their respiratory tract has constantly increased [16]. The presence of *O. rhinotracheale* in apparently healthy, captive and free-ranging non-galliform species raises important epidemiological and taxonomic questions for a better understanding of its diversity, ecology and transmission cycles. Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) recently established by Thieme et al. [3, 16] not only revealed specific phylogenetic relationships in non-galliform birds such as pigeons and birds of prey, but also identified few strains from turkeys and chickens that clearly differed from the main poultry cluster. The aim of the present study was to introduce partial *rpoB* gene sequencing for *O. rhinotracheale* into routine diagnostics to differentiate strains isolated from poultry and more diverse avian hosts and to compare the results with those from 16S rRNA gene and MLST analyses. The *rpoB* gene has been proved very useful and powerful for bacterial identification and phylogenetic studies [17–19].

Methods

Bacterial strains

Sixty-five strains previously identified as *O. rhinotracheale* were used for genetic analyses (Table 1), including 51 strains that were already investigated by MLST and represent the 31 sequence types (ST) as described by Thieme et

al. [3, 16], and six additional strains isolated from turkeys in Portugal (present study). Eight strains were identified only genetically from swab samples of different birds of prey and corvid species [20]. They were included in the *rpoB* gene analysis to investigate mixed bacterial DNA samples, when *O. rhinotracheale* cannot be obtained from bacterial cultures because of its fastidious growth requirements and concomitant fast-growing bacteria.

16S rRNA and *rpoB* gene analyses

Amplification of the *O. rhinotracheale* specific 16S rRNA gene fragment (784 bp) was performed according to Numee et al. [14] with primer sequences described by van Empel and Hafez [11]. The *rpoB* gene fragment (538 bp) was amplified using 1 μ M of primers *rpoB*Fla-f (5'-TCAATTCGTTCTTTGGAAC-3') and *rpoB*Fla-r (5'-GCATCATGTTAGATCCCAT-3') with cycling conditions as follows: 3 min denaturation at 94 °C, followed by 30 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, 54 °C for 30 s and 72 °C for 45 s, and a final extension step at 72 °C for 5 min. *rpoB* primers were designed based on published genomic sequences within the family Flavobacteriaceae (including *Riemerella* strains NCTC 11014^T and LMG 11607^T, and *O. rhinotracheale* strain DSM 15997^T) as described by Christensen and Bisgaard [19]. 16S rRNA and *rpoB* PCR products were gel purified (MinElute Gel Extraction Kit, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and Sanger sequenced in both directions at LGC Genomics, Berlin, Germany, using PeakTrace™ Basecaller and the PHRED 20 quality score. The identity of bacterial species was confirmed using BLAST search against the GenBank database.

Phylogenetic analyses of partial 16S rRNA (632 bp) and *rpoB* (538 bp) gene sequences were performed with MEGA6 [21] by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Jukes-Cantor model [22]. As several *O. rhinotracheale* strains were identical in their partial 16S rRNA and *rpoB* gene sequences, phylogenetic trees were built for 47 out of 65 strains and *Riemerella anatipestifer* DSM 15868^T (accession number NC_017045) was included as outgroup. The *O. rhinotracheale* type strain DSM 15997^T (accession number NC_018016) was included for sequence similarity comparisons (Table 2).

Results and discussion

Within the 16S rRNA gene analysis, the 65 *O. rhinotracheale* specific partial sequences showed a high level of homogeneity with similarity values ranging from 98.6 and 98.7% (ten strains from pigeons, GV37 and GV38) to 100% (strains from turkey or chicken and GV1) between non-galliform and poultry species. The structure of the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1), however, was largely comparable to MLST results [16]. The majority of *O. rhinotracheale* strains were arranged in one cluster and separated from two subsets of three strains each isolated

Table 1 *Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale* strains used for 16S rRNA and *rpob* gene analyses

Strain ID	Host	Bird family	MLST	Reference
RefA, RefE, GB 1707/12/2, GB 1707/12/3	Chicken	Phasianidae	ST1	[3], present study
RefB, RefI, RefM, RefP, GB 1312/05/2	Turkey	Phasianidae	ST1	[3], present study
RefC	Chicken	Phasianidae	ST2	[3], present study
RefD, RefH	Turkey	Phasianidae	ST3	[3], present study
RefQ	Chicken	Phasianidae	ST3	[3], present study
GK 1112/96	Pheasant	Phasianidae	ST3	[3], present study
RefF	Turkey	Phasianidae	ST4	[3], present study
RefG	Chicken	Phasianidae	ST5	[3], present study
RefJ, RefK	Chicken	Phasianidae	ST6	[3], present study
RefL	Turkey	Phasianidae	ST6	[3], present study
RefN	Guinea fowl	Numididae	ST7	[3], present study
RefO	Rook	Corvidae	ST8	[3], present study
GB 1312/05/22, GB 371/09/5, GB 804/13/1	Turkey	Phasianidae	ST9	[3], present study
GB 137/10/2	Chicken	Phasianidae	ST10	[3], present study
GB 738/10/1, GB 738/10/3	Turkey	Phasianidae	ST11	[3], present study
GB 1573/11/17	Turkey	Phasianidae	ST12	[3], present study
GB 2399/13	Chicken	Phasianidae	ST13	[3], present study
GB 978/14/1	Turkey	Phasianidae	ST14	[3], present study
GV1	Turkey vulture	Cathartidae	ST15	[3, 20], present study
GV6	Harris's hawk	Accipitridae	ST16	[3, 20], present study
GV13	Red kite	Accipitridae	ST16	[3, 20], present study
GV9	Common kestrel	Falconidae	ST17	[3, 20], present study
GV10	Peregrine falcon	Falconidae	ST18	[3, 20], present study
GV11	Saker falcon	Falconidae	ST18	[3, 20], present study
GV12	Saker-gyrfalcon	Falconidae	ST18	[3, 20], present study
GV143	Common kestrel	Falconidae	ST19	[3, 20], present study
GV149	Common kestrel	Falconidae	ST20	[3, 20], present study
T85	Pigeon	Columbidae	ST21	[16], present study
T49	Pigeon	Columbidae	ST22	[16], present study
T97	Pigeon	Columbidae	ST23	[16], present study
T91	Pigeon	Columbidae	ST24	[16], present study
T37	Pigeon	Columbidae	ST25	[16], present study
T66, T143	Pigeon	Columbidae	ST26	[16], present study
T52	Pigeon	Columbidae	ST27	[16], present study
T92	Pigeon	Columbidae	ST28	[16], present study
T102	Pigeon	Columbidae	ST29	[16], present study
T203	Pigeon	Columbidae	ST30	[16], present study
165–2/2015	Common buzzard	Accipitridae	ST31	[16], present study
GV22 ^a	Northern goshawk	Accipitridae	n.d.	[20], present study
GV37 ^a	White-tailed eagle	Accipitridae	n.d.	[20], present study
GV38 ^a	Osprey	Accipitridae	n.d.	[20], present study
GV55 ^a	Common kestrel	Falconidae	n.d.	[20], present study
R68 ^a	Carrion crow	Corvidae	n.d.	[20], present study
R70 ^a	Eurasian magpie	Corvidae	n.d.	[20], present study

Table 1 *Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale* strains used for 16S rRNA and *rpoB* gene analyses (Continued)

Strain ID	Host	Bird family	MLST	Reference
GV82 ^a	Common buzzard	Accipitridae	n.d.	[20], present study
GV89 ^a	Sparrow hawk	Accipitridae	n.d.	[20], present study
PTCV-ORT-Mist, PTCV731, PTCV1320, PTCV1556, PTCV1714, PTCV2283	Turkey	Phasianidae	n.d.	present study

MLST Multilocus sequence typing, ST Sequence type, n.d. Not determined

^aswab sample

from poultry (family Phasianidae) or birds of prey (family Accipitridae), respectively. One of the *O. rhinotracheale* serotype reference strains (Reff) belonged to the aforementioned subset of the three strains from poultry (including GB 978/14/1 and GB 137/10/2) and formed a separate cluster in previous studies too [14, 15].

The main 16S cluster was split into two subclusters with 47 strains collected from poultry, birds of prey and corvids, which are more closely related to each other and comprise a high diversity of bird families and species. In contrast, the second subcluster only involved 11 strains from pigeons and one strain from a white-tailed eagle (GV37, family Accipitridae). The latter grouped together with two strains isolated from feral pigeons, which were separated from strains collected from pigeons of a pigeon loft [16].

The 65 partial *rpoB* gene sequences were heterogeneous and ranged in their similarity values from 85.1 to 100%. The structure of the phylogenetic tree was in strong correlation with MLST results [16]. Three distinct clusters A ($n = 6$; poultry and birds of prey), B ($n = 47$; poultry, birds of prey and corvids) and C ($n = 11$; only pigeon) were identified (Fig. 2) that corresponded well to the MLST clusters [16]. Strain GV37 formed a distinct lineage and only shared 86.2 to 87.4% sequence similarity with strains of cluster A, 86.8 to 87.5% similarity with strains of cluster B and 85.1 to 85.5% similarity with strains of cluster C (Table 2). Within cluster A, three strains from turkeys and chicken (98.7 to 100% similarity) were clearly separated from three strains collected from birds of prey (99.4 to 100% similarity). Both groups shared only 94.2 to 94.8% sequence similarity. In contrast, *rpoB* gene sequence similarity values among strains

from cluster B or cluster C ranged from 98.5 and 98.0% to 100%, respectively.

Sequence analysis of a specific *rpoB* gene fragment is widely used in addition to the 16S rRNA gene for more reliable bacterial identification and taxonomic classifications at genus and species level [17]. For members of the family Pasteurellaceae, *rpoB* similarity cut-offs of 85 and 95% were recommended for the description of new genera and species, respectively [23]. For the family Flavobacteriaceae, corresponding similarity cut-offs have not been defined so far [24]. Partial *rpoB* gene analyses, however, proved to be very useful to reveal clear phylogenetic relationships of *Riemerella* strains and related members of Flavobacteriaceae [19]. Likewise, *O. rhinotracheale* strains from this study show strong differences in similarity among their *rpoB* gene sequences. Strains of cluster A and cluster C as well as strain GV37 were clearly separated from cluster B, a genetically homogenous group that includes the majority of *O. rhinotracheale* strains and the *O. rhinotracheale* type strain DSM 15997^T. Moreover, comparisons with *O. rhinotracheale* DSM 15997^T or respective with strains from cluster B revealed sequence similarities of $\leq 90.0\%$ but above 85.0% (Table 2), which would only support bacterial identification at genus level.

Conclusions

By using partial sequences from a single gene, the *rpoB* gene analysis is in good agreement with MLST results with a slight decrease in resolution to distinguish more similar strains. Eight *rpoB* gene sequences were received from swab samples (all non-galliform birds) [20] extending the avian host

Table 2 *rpoB* gene sequence similarity values of phylogenetic clusters in comparison and with type strain *Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale* DSM 15997^T

<i>rpoB</i> gene sequences	Cluster A	Cluster B	Cluster C	Strain GV37	DSM 15997 ^T
Cluster A	94.2 to 100%	87.4 to 89.0%	85.3 to 87.0%	86.2 to 87.4%	87.5 to 87.9%
Cluster B	87.4 to 89.0%	98.5 to 100%	88.5 to 90.0%	86.8 to 87.5%	98.7 to 100%
Cluster C	85.3 to 87.0%	88.5 to 90.0%	98.0 to 100%	85.1 to 85.5%	88.9 to 89.2%
Strain GV37	86.2 to 87.4%	86.8 to 87.5%	85.1 to 85.5%	100%	87.0%




Fig. 1 Phylogenetic tree based on partial 16S rRNA gene sequences (632 bp) and constructed in MEGA6 [21] by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Jukes-Cantor model [22]. The tree was built with 47 out of 65 *O. rhinotracheale* sequences (remaining identical sequences are indicated in grey) and *Riemerella anatipestifer* DSM 15868^T (NC_017045) as outgroup. GenBank accession numbers are given in brackets. The percentage of replicate trees (> 50%) in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap analysis (100 replicates) is shown next to the branches. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained by applying the Neighbor-Joining method to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site

range and phylogenetic relationships investigated by MLST. On a bird family-level, the Columbidae specific cluster C and two different subclusters of three strains from Accipitridae species (namely 165–2/2015, GV8 and GV13) and seven strains from Falconidae species (namely GV9 to GV12, GV55, GV143

and GV149) were seen likewise to MLST [16]. At the same time, however, several *O. rhinotracheale* strains from different poultry species, birds of prey and corvid species have a close genetic relationship (similarity $\geq 98.5\%$) pointing towards the risk of interspecies transmission. Moreover, our results




Fig. 2 Phylogenetic tree based on partial *rpoB* gene sequences (538 bp) and constructed in MEGA6 [21] by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Jukes-Cantor model [22]. The tree was built with 47 out of 65 *O. rhinotracheale* sequences (remaining identical sequences are indicated in grey) and *Riemerella anatipestifer* DSM 15868^T (NC_017045) as outgroup. GenBank accession numbers are given in brackets. The percentage of replicate trees (> 50%) in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap analysis (100 replicates) is shown next to the branches. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained by applying the Neighbor-Joining method to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site

provide strong evidence that traditional phenotypic and genetic methods used for identification may not properly represent the heterogeneous group of bacteria classified as *O. rhinotracheale*. From house-keeping gene analyses, it is very likely that the genus

Ornithobacterium includes additional species and partial *rpoB* gene sequencing can be recommended as fast, cost-effective and readily available method to identify strains and differentiate between *O. rhinotracheale* and *Ornithobacterium*-like bacteria.

Abbreviations

MLST: Multilocus sequence typing; PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; ST: Sequence type

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Elke Dyrks and Gabriele Grotehenn for their excellent technical assistance, and Dr. Ana Martins from ALS Controlvet Portugal and Dr. Rita Silva from Desenvolvimento e Inovação industrial Lda (DIN) for providing six *O. rhinotracheale* strains from turkeys in Portugal.

Funding

IMBV was funded by a postdoctoral Honors Fellowship 2013–2 from the Dahlem Research School (DRS), Freie Universität Berlin, Germany. The funding body had no role in any aspect of this study. The publication of this article was funded in part by the Open Access Fund of the Leibniz Association.

Availability of data and materials

Newly obtained sequences of the different genes from the 47 representative strains used for phylogenetic analyses have been deposited in GenBank (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank) and are available under their accession numbers (16S rRNA accession numbers: MH746672 to MH746712; *rpoB* accession numbers: MH746625 to MH746671). 16S rRNA gene sequences of strains RefA to RefH, RefO and RefN are available under accession numbers KY809786 to KY809793 [15], U87102 and U87103 [13], respectively.

Authors' contributions

IMBV and KM conceived and designed the experiments; IMBV, SG, DL performed the experiments; IMBV, SG, DL, HMH, KM contributed isolates/reagents/materials/analysis tools; KM analyzed the data and wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Samples and bacterial isolates used in the present study were obtained for diagnostic purposes and do not require ethical approval or owner consent.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Present Address: Institute of Animal Pathology, Bern, Switzerland. ²Institute of Poultry Diseases, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany. ³Department of Wildlife Diseases, Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research, Berlin, Germany.

Received: 17 August 2018 Accepted: 16 January 2019

Published online: 06 February 2019

References

- Hafez HM, Kruse W, Emele J, Sting R. Eine Atemwegsinfektion bei Mastputen durch *Pasteurella*-ähnliche Erreger: Klinik, Diagnostik und Therapie. In: Proceedings of the international conference on poultry diseases. Potsdam: German Veterinary Medical Society (DVG); 1993. p. 105–12.
- Vandamme P, Segers P, Vancanneyt M, van Hove K, Muters R, Hommez J, et al. *Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale* gen. Nov., sp. nov., isolated from the avian respiratory tract. Int J Syst Bacteriol. 1994;44:24–37.
- Thieme S, Mühlendorfer K, Gad W, Lüscho D, Hafez MH. Molecular characterization of the recently emerged poultry pathogen *Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale* by multilocus sequence typing. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0148158.
- Ha HJ, Christensen N, Humphrey S, Haydon T, Bernardi G, Rawdon T. The first detection of *Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale* in New Zealand. Avian Dis. 2016;60:856–9.
- Hafez HM, Vandamme P, Ornithobacterium Vandamme GXXVI. Segers, Vancanneyt, Van Hove, Muters, Hommez, Dewhirst, Paster, Kersters, Falsen, Devriese, Bisgaard, Hinz and Mannheim 1994, 35^{VP}. In: Krieg NR, Stanley JT, Brown DR, Hedlund BJ, Paster NL, et al., editors. Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology. 2nd ed. New York: Springer; 2011. p. 250–314.
- Segers P, Mannheim W, Vancanneyt M, De Brandt K, Hinz KH, Kersters K, et al. *Riemerella anatipestifer* gen. Nov., comb. nov., the causative agent of septicemia anserum exsudativa, and its phylogenetic affiliation within the Flavobacterium-Cytophaga rRNA homology group. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 1993;43:768–76.
- Rubbenstroth D, Ryll M, Hotzel H, Christensen H, Knobloch JK-M, Rautenschlein S, et al. Description of *Riemerella columbipharyngitis* sp. nov., isolated from the pharynx of healthy domestic pigeons (*Columba livia* f. *domestica*), and emended descriptions of the genus *Riemerella*, *Riemerella anatipestifer* and *Riemerella columbina*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2013;63:280–7.
- Vandamme P, Vancanneyt M, Segers P, Ryll M, Köhler B, Ludwig W, et al. *Coenonia anatina* gen. Nov., sp. nov., a novel bacterium associated with respiratory disease in ducks and geese. Int J Syst Bacteriol. 1999;49:867–74.
- Salter SJ, Scott P, Page AJ, Tracey A, de Goffau MC, Ochoa-Montano B, et al. *Candidatus Ornithobacterium hominis* sp. nov.: insights gained from draft genomes obtained from nasopharyngeal swabs. bioRxiv. preprint. 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1101/326074>.
- Sprenger SJ, Halvorson DA, Shaw DA, Nagaraja KV. *Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale* infection in turkeys: immunoprophylaxis studies. Avian Dis. 2000;44:549–55.
- Van Empel PCM, Hafez MH. *Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale*: a review. Avian Pathol. 1999;28:217–27.
- Zahra M, Ferreri M, Alkasir R, Yin J, Han B, Isolation SJ. Characterization of small-colony variants of *Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale*. J Appl Microbiol. 2013;51:3228–36.
- Amonsin A, Wellehan JFX, Li L-L, Vandamme P, Lindeman C, Edman M, et al. Molecular epidemiology of *Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale*. J Clin Microbiol. 1997;35:2894–8.
- Nume S, Hauck R, Hafez HM. Detection and typing of *Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale* from German poultry flocks. Avian Dis. 2012;56:654–8.
- Montes de Oca-Jimenez R, Vega-Sanchez V, Morales-Erasto V, Salgado-Miranda C, Blackall PJ, Soriano-Vargas E. Phylogenetic relationship of *Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale* strains. J Vet Med Sci. 2018;80:869–73.
- Thieme S, Hafez MH, Gützer S, Warkentin N, Lüscho D, Mühlendorfer K. Multilocus sequence typing of *Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale* isolated from pigeons and birds of prey revealed new insights into its population structure. Vet Anim Sci. 2016b;1:15–20.
- Adékambi T, Drancourt M, Raoult D. The *rpoB* gene as a tool for clinical microbiologists. Trends Microbiol. 2009;17:37–45.
- Korczak B, Christensen H, Emler S, Frey J, Kuhnert P. Phylogeny of the family Pasteurellaceae based on *rpoB* sequences. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2004;54:1939.
- Christensen H, Bisgaard M. Phylogenetic relationships of *Riemerella anatipestifer* serovars and related taxa and an evaluation of specific PCR tests reported for *R. anatipestifer*. J Appl Microbiol. 2009;108:1612–9.
- Gützer S, Lüscho D, Hafez HM. Wild birds as source of *Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale* infections. In: Hafez HM, editor. Proceedings of the 7th "Hafez" international symposium on Turkey production. Meeting of the working group 10 (Turkey) of World's poultry science association. Berlin: Mensch & Buch Verlag; 2013. p. 193–5.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipowski A, Kumar S. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol Biol and Evol. 2013;30:2725–9.
- Jukes TH, Cantor CR. Evolution of protein molecules. In: Munro HN, editor. Mammalian Protein Metabolism. New York: Academic Press; 1969. p. 21–132.
- Christensen H, Kuhnert P, Busse H-J, Frederiksen W, Bisgaard M. Proposed minimal standards for the description of genera, species and subspecies of the Pasteurellaceae. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2007;57:166–78.
- Bernardet JF, Nakagawa Y, Holmes B. Subcommittee on the taxonomy of Flavobacterium and Cytophaga-like bacteria of the international committee on systematics of prokaryotes. Proposed minimal standards for describing new taxa of the family Flavobacteriaceae and emended description of the family. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2002;52:1049–70.